Serial No.: 10/585,014 Examiner: Duc M. Nguyen

Reply to Office Action Mailed January 13, 2010

Page 17 of 17

## REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the *Ex Parte Quayle* Office Action mailed on January 13, 2010. The title of the application and claims 1-22 have been revised editorially. No new matter is added. Claims 1-22 remain pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the claims are generally narrative and indefinite. Claims 1-22 have been revised editorially to address the Examiner's concerns. For example, the structure of claim 1 has been reorganized as suggested in the rejection. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-22 are further rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the claims are not in one sentence form. Claims 1 and 3 have been revised editorially to address the Examiner's concerns.

In view of the above, favorable reconsideration in the form of a notice of allowance is respectfully requested. Any questions regarding this communication can be directed to the undersigned attorney, Rong Yang, Limited Recognition No. L0279, at (612) 455-3816.

52835 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. Box 2902-0902 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902 (612) 455-3800

By:

Rong Kang

Limited Recognition No. L0279

Dated: March 15, 2010